

<u>PFR-065</u> Title: Bad Data and Missing and Out-of-Order Packets Observed During Continuous Mode Operation.

Assembly: IDPU		Sub-Assembly:	Sub-Assembly: FSW	
Component: n/a		Units Affected:	Units fixed:	
Originator: John Bonnell		x x x x x x	x x x x x x	
Organization: UCBSSL		Date: 21 July 2	Date: 21 July 2005	
Phone: 510-642-0852		Email: jbonnell	Email: jbonnell@ssl.berkeley.edu	
	d During (Check on	e) Integration □ Launch Opera	tions 🗆 Other (Flight Assy)	
	en failure occurred	U 1	$\frac{1}{11000} = 0 \text{ for } \frac{1}{11000} = \frac{1}{1000} = 1$	
Ambient	□ Vibration	□ Shock	□ Acoustic	
Thermal	Vacuum	X Thermal-Vacuum	□ EMI/EMC	
Problem Description				

(In this section it is important to document the specific symptoms of exhibited the problem. In the event we see it happen again, we would like to know as much as possible.)

During Continuous Mode operations during transitions in temperature during FM1 Suite TVAC, it was observed that data from the EFI and FGM instruments was anomalous – packet data looked incomplete and/or noisy, packets were missing or out-of-sequence.

Analyses Performed to Determine Cause

(How do we know how the failure happened? Was it a bad part, bad handling, what?) Detailed examination of EFI and FGM packets along with SOH packets has shown that the majority of the problems occur when the SURVEY partition in the SSR is "bottom-feeding," i.e. sitting at or just above the packet minimum. The anomalous packet contents and missing packets only occurred when bottomfeeding; the out-of-sequence packets appear to be repeated packets – packets that appeared at the correct point in the packet stream earlier in the file show up several hours later. There can be one to several missing packets or out-of-sequence packets in a row.

After increasing packet minimums, John Bonnell looked at each of the fields APIDs present in the first Continuous Mode data file (0x440, 0x441, 0x443, 0x444, 0x44d, 0x44e, 0x460, 0x461), and have found no obvious problems with the data quality pertaining to malformed packets (PFR-065).

On the basis of these results, it is apparent that the fix has solved the problem, and that PFR-065 may be closed at this time from the point of view of fields data packets.

Corrective Action/ Resolution

(How do we fix the unit? And how do we make sure it doesn't happen again?) Use larger packet minimums.

Acceptance:	
MAM: Ron Jackson	; MSE: Ellen Taylor

PM: Peter Harvey_____; Cognizant Engineer_____

Date of Closure: 10/19/05